

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/613,371 07/03/2003		Kazunari Motohashi	075834.00409	4837	
33448 . 75	590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER		
ROBERT J. DEPKE			BERNATZ, KEVIN M		
LEWIS T. STE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GLANGLORGI, BLACKSTONE & MARR			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
105 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 3600			1773		
CHICAGO, IL	60603-6299		DATE MAIL ED. 00/20/200	•	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	n
/· ·	1

		Application No. Appli		Applicant(s)	plicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/613,37 ⁻	ı	MOTOHASHI, KAZUNARI				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kevin M. B		1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on	 ·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is no	n-final.					
3) Since this application is in co	ndition for allowan	nce except f	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the	practice under E	x parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in t	ne application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
dec inc diadoles detailed office		or the certain	cu copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								



Application/Control Number: 10/613,371 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Amendments to claim 1, filed on June 24, 2005, have been entered in the aboveidentified application.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,554,440) for the reasons of record as set forth in Paragraph No. 4 of the Office Action mailed on January 21, 2005.

Regarding the amended limitation "and further wherein a deposition range is ... $\alpha i - \alpha f \le 25^{\circ}$ ", the Examiner notes that this limitation(s) are/(is a) process limitation(s) and is/are not further limiting in terms of the structure resulting from the claimed process. Specifically, in a product claim, as long as the prior art product meets the claimed structural limitations, the method by which the product is formed is not germane to the determination of patentability of the product unless an unobvious difference can be shown to result from the claimed process limitations. Furthermore, in the instant case, Ishida et al. explicitly disclose controlling the deposition incidence angle to meet applicants' claimed limitations since the Θ disclosed by Ishida et al. *is* the deposition incidence angle, α , claimed by applicants (*col. 6, lines 5 – 39*).

Response to Arguments

4. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C § 102(b) – Ishida et al.

Applicant(s) argue(s) that "the prior art does not teach or suggest this range" (page 3 of response). The examiner respectfully disagrees.

See rejection of record above. Ishida et al. uses a different symbol to refer to the deposition incidence angle, but explicitly teaches controlling the initial and final (α i and α f, respectively) incidence angles to be within 25° of each other (e.g. Figures 16, 17 and 19).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M Bernatz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1505. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,371

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KMB September 12, 2005 Kevin M. Bernatz, PhD

Page 4

Primary Examiner